

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/829,613	BARSNESS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gero G. McClellan, Regd.No. 44,227.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2007
Time: 1.20PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6-8, 11, 13-17, 25-27, 30, 32-36, 40-42

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6609123
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made on 13 March 2007 to applicant's representative Gero G. McClellan, Regd.No. 44,227 about the potential amendment to the claims 6,11,13,15,25,30,32,34,40-42, cancelling claims: 7-8,14,16,17,26-27,33,35-36, amendment to the specification at page 10, paragraph [0042], in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.